arXiv:0706.4195v1 [math.RT] 28 Jun 2007

Flag Partial Differential Equations and Representations of Lie Algebras[1]

Xiaoping Xu[2]

Institute of mathematics, Academy of Mathematics & System Sciences

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, P. R. China

Abstract

In this paper, we solve the initial value problems of variable-coefficient generalized wave equations associated with trees and a large family of linear constant-coefficient partial differential equation by algebraic methods. Moreover, we find all the polynomial solutions for a 3-dimensional variable-coefficient flag partial differential equation of any order, the linear wave equation with dissipation and the generalized anisymmetrical Laplace equation. Furthermore, the polynomial-trigonometric solutions of a generalized Klein-Gordan equation associated with 3-dimensional generalized Tricomi operator $\partial_x^2+x\partial_y^2+y\partial_z^2$ are also given. As applications to representations of Lie algebras, we find certain irreducible polynomial representations of the Lie algebras $sl(n,\mathbb{F})$, $so(n,\mathbb{F})$ and the simple Lie algebra of type G_2.

1 Introduction

Barros-Neto and Gel'fand [BG1,BG2] (1998, 2002) studied solutions of the equation

$$u_{xx}+xu_{yy}=\delta(x-x_0,y-y_0) \tag{1.1}$$

related to the Tricomi operator $\partial_x^2+x\partial_y^2$. A natural generalization of the Tricomi operator is $\partial_{x_1}^2+x_1\partial_{x_2}^2+\cdots+x_{n-1}\partial_{x_n}^2$. The equation

$$u_t=u_{x_1x_1}+u_{x_2x_2}+\cdots+u_{x_nx_n} \tag{1.2}$$

---

[1] 2000 Mathematical Subject Classification. Primary 17B30, 35F15, 35G15; Secondary 35C15, 35Q58

[2] Research Supported by China NSF 10431040

is the well known classical heat conduction equation related to the Laplacian operator $\partial_{x_1}^2+\partial_{x_2}^2+\cdots+\partial_{x_n}^2$. As pointed out in [BG1, BG2], the Tricomi operator is an analogue of the Laplacian operator. In [X2], we have solved the following natural analogue of heat conduction equation:

$$u_t = u_{x_1x_1} + x_1u_{x_2x_2} + \cdots + x_{n-1}u_{x_nx_n}. \tag{1.3}$$

Indeed we have solved analogous heat conduction equations related to more general generalized Tricomi operators associated with tree graphs.

A *tree* $\mathcal{T}$ consists of a finite set of *nodes* $\mathcal{N}=\{\iota_1,\iota_2,...,\iota_n\}$ and a set of *edges*

$$\mathcal{E}\subset\{(\iota_i,\iota_j)\mid 1\leq i<j\leq n\} \tag{1.4}$$

such that for each node $\iota_i\in\mathcal{N}$, there exists a unique sequence $\{\iota_{i_1},\iota_{i_2},...,\iota_{i_r}\}$ of nodes with $1=i_1<i_2<\cdots<i_{r-1}<i_r=i$ for which

$$(\iota_{i_1},\iota_{i_2}),(\iota_{i_2},\iota_{i_3}),...,(\iota_{i_{r-2}},\iota_{i_{r-1}}),(\iota_{i_{r-1}},\iota_{i_r})\in\mathcal{E}. \tag{1.5}$$

We also denote the tree $\mathcal{T}=(\mathcal{N},\mathcal{E})$. For a tree $\mathcal{T}=(\mathcal{N},\mathcal{E})$, we call the differential operator

$$d_{\mathcal{T}}=\partial_{x_1}^2+\sum_{(\iota_i,\iota_j)\in\mathcal{E}}x_i\partial_{x_j}^2 \tag{1.6}$$

a *generalized Tricomi operator of type* $\mathcal{T}$. In [X2], we have solved the following analogue of heat conduction equation:

$$u_t=d_{\mathcal{T}}(u) \tag{1.7}$$

subject to the initial condition:

$$u(0,x_1,...,x_n)=f(x_1,...,x_n)\qquad\text{for }\ x_i\in[-a_i,a_i], \tag{1.8}$$

where f is a given continuous function and a_i are given positive real constants.

The equation

$$u_{tt}-u_{x_1x_1}-u_{x_2x_2}-\cdots-u_{x_nx_n}=0 \tag{1.9}$$

is the well-known wave equation associated with the Laplace operator $\partial_{x_1}^2+\partial_{x_2}^2+\cdots+\partial_{x_n}^2$. One of our goals in this paper is to solve the *generalized wave equation*

$$u_{tt}-d_{\mathcal{T}}(u)=0 \tag{1.10}$$

subject to the initial conditions:

$$u(0,x_1,...,x_n)=g_0(x_1,...,x_n),\quad u_t(0,x_1,...,x_n)=g_1(x_1,...,x_n) \tag{1.11}$$

for $x_i\in[-a_i,a_i]$, where g_1,g_2 are given continuous functions and a_i are given positive real constants. This is done by using our results in [X2] and an algebraic method of solving the following *differential equation of flag type*:

$$(d_1+f_1d_2+f_2d_3+\cdots+f_{n-1}d_n)(u)=0, \tag{1.12}$$

where $d_1, d_2, ..., d_n$ are certain commuting locally nilpotent differential operators on the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$ and $f_1, ..., f_{n-1}$ are polynomials satisfying

$$d_i(f_j) = 0 \qquad \text{if } \ i > j. \tag{1.13}$$

Another slightly adjusted method enables us to solve a large family of linear constant-coefficient partial differential equations subject to initial conditions easily. This family contains almost all well-known linear constant-coefficient partial differential equations such as the Helmholtz equation and the Klein-Gordon equation. A general equation in this family can not be solved by separation of variables.

Polynomial solutions of linear partial differential equations are important for many reasons. For instance, polynomial solutions of Laplace equations are called *harmonic polynomials*, which are fundamental objects in differential geometry and analysis. In this paper, we have used our algebraic methods to find a basis of the space of all polynomial solutions for the following differential equations: (1)

$$\partial_x^{m_1}(u) + x^{n_1}\partial_y^{m_2}(u) + y^{n_2}\partial_z^{m_3}(u) = 0, \tag{1.14}$$

where m_1, m_2, m_3 are positive integers and n_1, n_2 are nonnegative integers; (2) the linear wave equation with dissipation:

$$u_{tt} + u_t - u_{x_1x_1} - u_{x_2x_2} - \cdots - u_{x_nx_n} = 0; \tag{1.15}$$

(3)

$$u_{tt} + \frac{\lambda}{t}u_t - \epsilon(u_{x_1x_1} + u_{x_2x_2} + \cdots + u_{x_nx_n}) = 0, \tag{1.16}$$

where $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ and λ is a nonzero real constant. When $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = 2$ and $n_1 = n_2 = 0$, (1.14) is exactly the 3-dimensional Laplace equation. If $\epsilon = -1$, (1.16) is the generalized anisymmetrical Laplace equation (cf. [A]). Moreover, the special Euler-Poisson-Darboux equation:

$$u_{tt} - u_{x_1x_1} - u_{x_2x_2} - \cdots - u_{x_nx_n} - \frac{m(m+1)}{t^2}u = 0 \tag{1.17}$$

can be changed into a equation of type (1.16) with $\epsilon = 1$. Furthermore, we have found the polynomial-trigonometric solutions of the generalized Klein-Gordan equation

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} - xu_{yy} - yu_{zz} + a^2u = 0 \tag{1.18}$$

associated with 3-dimensional generalized Tricomi operator $\partial_x^2 + x\partial_y^2 + y\partial_z^2$.

Flag partial differential equations also naturally appear in the representation theory of Lie algebras. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra with the Cartan root-space decomposition:

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Phi} \mathcal{G}_\alpha. \tag{1.19}$$

Suppose that M is a finite-dimensional $\mathcal{G}$-module. Take a weight-vector basis $\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ of M. Write

$$\xi(v_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \rho_{i,j}(\xi) v_j \qquad \text{for } \xi \in \mathcal{G}. \tag{1.20}$$

We define an action of $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathbb{R}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ by

$$\xi(g) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \rho_{i,j}(\xi) x_j \partial_{x_i}(g) \qquad \text{for } \xi \in \mathcal{G},\ g \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, ..., x_n]. \tag{1.21}$$

Then $\mathbb{R}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ forms a $\mathcal{G}$-module isomorphic to the symmetric tensor $S(M)$ over M. Take a base Π of the root system Φ and $0 \neq \xi_\alpha \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Pi$. According to Weyl's theorem of completely reducibility, $\mathbb{R}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ can be decomposed as a direct sum of irreducible $\mathcal{G}$-submodules. Such a decomposition is completely determined by the polynomial solutions (singular vectors or highest-weight vectors) of the following system of flag partial differential equations:

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \rho_{i,j}(\xi_\alpha) x_j \partial_{x_i}(u) = 0, \qquad \alpha \in \Pi. \tag{1.22}$$

As simple applications of our algebraic methods of solving differential equations, we find a basis for certain irreducible polynomial representations of the Lie algebras $sl(n, \mathbb{F})$, $so(n, \mathbb{F})$ and the simple Lie algebra of type G_2.

In Section 2, we will present our algebraic methods and find all polynomial solutions of the above concerned partial differential equations. Section 3 is devoted to solve two initial value problems we mentioned in the above. In Section 4, certain polynomial representations of Lie algebras will be given.

2 Polynomial Solutions

Take any subfield $\mathbb{F}$ of the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers. We assume all the vector spaces are over $\mathbb{F}$ unless it is specified. For a positive integer n, we denote by

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{F}[x_1, ..., x_n], \tag{2.1}$$

the algebra of polynomials in n variables. The following algebraic result is one of our key lemmas of solving concerned differential equations.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is free module over a subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ generated by a filtrated subspace $V = \bigcup_{r=0}^{\infty} V_r$ (i.e., $V_r \subset V_{r+1}$). Let T_1 be a linear operator on $\mathcal{A}$ with a right inverse T_1^- such that*

$$T_1(\mathcal{B}),\ T_1^-(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{B}, \qquad T_1(\eta_1\eta_2) = T_1(\eta_1)\eta_2, \qquad T_1^-(\eta_1\eta_2) = T_1^-(\eta_1)\eta_2 \tag{2.2}$$

for $\eta_1 \in \mathcal{B}$, $\eta_2 \in V$, and let T_2 be a linear operator on $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$T_2(V_{r+1}) \subset \mathcal{B}V_r,\ \ T_2(f\zeta) = fT_2(\zeta) \qquad \text{for } 0 \leq r \in \mathbb{Z},\ \ f \in \mathcal{B},\ \zeta \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{2.3}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{g \in \mathcal{A} \mid (T_1+T_2)(g)=0\} \\ = \; & Span\{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-T_1^- T_2)^i(hg) \mid g \in V,\ h \in \mathcal{B};\ T_1(h)=0\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where the summation is finite under our assumption. Moreover, the operator $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-T_1^- T_2)^i T_1^-$ *is a right inverse of* T_1+T_2.

Proof. For $h \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $T_1(h)=0$ and $g \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (T_1+T_2)(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-T_1^- T_2)^i(hg)) \\ = \; & T_1(hg) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T_1[T_1^- T_2(-T_1^- T_2)^{i-1}(hg)] + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_2[(-T_1^-)^i(hg)] \\ = \; & T_1(h)g - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(T_1T_1^-)T_2(-T_1^- T_2)^{i-1}(hg) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_2(-T_1^- T_2)^i(hg) \\ = \; & -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T_2(-T_1^- T_2)^{i-1}(hg) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_2(-T_1^- T_2)^i(hg) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

by (2.2). Denote by $\mathbb{N}$ the set of nonnegative integers. Set $V_{-1}=\{0\}$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we take $\{\psi_i \mid i \in I_k\} \subset V_k$ such that

$$\{\psi_i + V_{k-1} \mid i \in I_k\} \text{ forms a basis of } V_k/V_{k-1}, \tag{2.6}$$

where I_k is an index set. Let

$$\mathcal{A}^{(m)} = \mathcal{B}V_m = \sum_{s=0}^{m}\sum_{i \in I_s}\mathcal{B}\psi_i. \tag{2.7}$$

Obviously,

$$T_1(\mathcal{A}^{(m)}),\ T_1^-(\mathcal{A}^{(m)}),\ T_2(\mathcal{A}^{(m+1)}) \subset \mathcal{A}^{(m)} \qquad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.8}$$

by (2.2) and (2.3), and

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{A}^{(m)}. \tag{2.9}$$

Suppose $\phi \in \mathcal{A}^{(m)}$ such that $(T_1+T_2)(\phi)=0$. If $m=0$, then

$$\phi = \sum_{i \in I_0} h_i\psi_i, \qquad h_i \in \mathcal{B}. \tag{2.10}$$

Now

$$0 = (T_1+T_2)(\phi) = \sum_{i \in I_0} T_1(h_i)\psi_i + \sum_{i \in I_0} h_iT_2(\psi_i) = \sum_{i \in I_0} T_1(h_i)\psi_i, \tag{2.11}$$

Since $T_1(h_i) \in \mathcal{B}$ by (2.2) and $\mathcal{A}$ is a free $\mathcal{B}$-module generated by V, we have $T_1(h_i)=0$ for $i \in I_0$. Denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the right hand side of the equation (2.4). Then

$$\phi = \sum_{i \in I_0}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-T_1^- T_2)^m(h_i\psi_i) \in \mathcal{S}. \tag{2.12}$$

Suppose $m > 0$. We write

$$\phi = \sum_{i \in I_m} h_i \psi_i + \phi', \qquad h_i \in \mathcal{B},\ \phi' \in \mathcal{A}^{(m-1)}. \tag{2.13}$$

Then

$$0 = (T_1 + T_2)(\phi) = \sum_{i \in I_m} T_1(h_i)\psi_i + T_1(\phi') + T_2(\phi). \tag{2.14}$$

Since $T_1(\phi') + T_2(\phi) \in \mathcal{A}^{(m-1)}$, we have $T_1(h_i) = 0$ for $i \in I_m$. Now

$$\phi - \sum_{i \in I_m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-T_1^- T_2)^k (h\psi_i) = \phi' - \sum_{i \in I_m} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-T_1^- T_2)^k (h_i\psi_i) \in \mathcal{A}^{(m-1)} \tag{2.15}$$

and (2.5) implies

$$(T_1 + T_2)(\phi - \sum_{i \in I_m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-T_1^- T_2)^k (h_i\psi_i)) = 0. \tag{2.16}$$

By induction on m,

$$\phi - \sum_{i \in I_m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-T_1^- T_2)^k (h_i\psi_i) \in \mathcal{S}. \tag{2.17}$$

Therefore, $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$.

For any $f \in \mathcal{A}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & (T_1 + T_2)(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-T_1^- T_2)^i T_1^-)(f) \\ = \ & f - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T_2(-T_1^- T_2)^{i-1} T_1^-(f) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_2(-T_1^- T_2)^i T_1^-(f) = f. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Thus the operator $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-T_1^- T_2)^i T_1^-$ is a right inverse of $T_1 + T_2$. $\square$

We remark that the above operator T_1 and T_2 may not commute.

For convenience, we take the following notation of indices:

$$\overline{i,j} = \{i, i+1, ..., j\}, \tag{2.19}$$

where $i \leq j$ are integers. Define

$$x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \qquad \text{for } \ \alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n. \tag{2.20}$$

Moreover, we denote

$$\epsilon_i = (0, ..., 0, \overset{i}{1}, 0, ..., 0) \in \mathbb{N}^n. \tag{2.21}$$

For each $i \in \overline{1,n}$, we define the linear operator $\int_{(x_i)}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ by:

$$\int_{(x_i)} (x^\alpha) = \frac{x^{\alpha + \epsilon_i}}{\alpha_i + 1} \qquad \text{for } \ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n. \tag{2.22}$$

Furthermore, we let

$$\int_{(x_i)}^{(0)} = 1, \qquad \int_{(x_i)}^{(m)} = \overbrace{\int_{(x_i)} \cdots \int_{(x_i)}}^{m} \qquad \text{for } 0 < m \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.23}$$

and denote

$$\partial^{\alpha} = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}, \quad \int^{(\alpha)} = \int_{(x_1)}^{(\alpha_1)} \int_{(x_2)}^{(\alpha_2)} \cdots \int_{(x_n)}^{(\alpha_n)} \qquad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n. \tag{2.24}$$

Obviously, $\int^{(\alpha)}$ is a right inverse of ∂^{α} for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. We remark that $\int^{(\alpha)} \partial^{\alpha} \neq 1$ if $\alpha \neq 0$ due to $\partial^{\alpha}(1) = 0$.

Consider the wave equation in Riemannian space with a nontrivial conformal group (cf. [I1]):

$$u_{tt} - u_{x_1 x_1} - \sum_{i,j=2}^{n} g_{i,j}(x_1 - t) u_{x_i x_j} = 0, \tag{2.25}$$

where we assume that $g_{i,j}(z)$ are one-variable polynomials. Change variables:

$$z_0 = x_1 + t, \qquad z_1 = x_1 - t. \tag{2.26}$$

Then

$$\partial_t^2 = (\partial_{z_0} - \partial_{z_1})^2, \qquad \partial_{x_1}^2 = (\partial_{z_0} + \partial_{z_1})^2. \tag{2.27}$$

So the equation (2.25) changes to:

$$2\partial_{z_0}\partial_{z_1} + \sum_{i,j=2}^{n} g_{i,j}(z_1) u_{x_i x_j} = 0. \tag{2.28}$$

Denote

$$T_1 = 2\partial_{z_0}\partial_{z_1}, \qquad T_2 = \sum_{i,j=2}^{n} g_{i,j}(z_1)\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_j}. \tag{2.29}$$

Take $T_1^- = \frac{1}{2}\int_{(z_0)}\int_{(z_1)}$, and

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{F}[z_0, z_1], \qquad V = \mathbb{F}[x_2, ..., x_n], \qquad V_r = \{f \in V \mid \deg f \leq r\}. \tag{2.30}$$

Then the conditions in Lemma 2.1 hold. Thus we have:

Theorem 2.2. *The space of all polynomial solutions for the equation (2.24) is:*

$$\begin{aligned} &Span\,\{\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-2)^{-m}(\sum_{i,j=2}^{n}\int_{(z_0)}\int_{(z_1)} g_{i,j}(z_1)\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_j})^m (f_0 g_0 + f_1 g_1) \\ &\mid f_0 \in \mathbb{F}[z_0],\ f_1 \in \mathbb{F}[z_1],\ g_0, g_1 \in \mathbb{F}[x_2, ..., x_n]\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

with z_0, z_1 defined in (2.26).

Let $m_1, m_2, ..., m_n$ be positive integers. According to Lemma 2.1, the set

$$\{\sum_{k_2,...,k_n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k_2+\cdots+k_n}\binom{k_2+\cdots+k_k}{k_2,...,k_n}\int_{(x_1)}^{((k_2+\cdots+k_n)m_1)}(x_1^{\ell_1})$$
$$\times\partial_{x_2}^{k_2m_2}(x_2^{\ell_2})\cdots\partial_{x_n}^{k_nm_n}(x_n^{\ell_n}) \mid \ell_1\in\overline{0,m_1-1},\ \ell_2,...,\ell_n\in\mathbb{N}\} \tag{2.32}$$

forms a basis of the space of polynomial solutions for the equation

$$(\partial_{x_1}^{m_1}+\partial_{x_2}^{m_2}+\cdots+\partial_{x_n}^{m_n})(u)=0 \tag{2.33}$$

in $\mathcal{A}$. In particular,

$$\{\sum_{r_2,...,r_n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{r_2+\cdots+r_k}\binom{r_2+\cdots+r_n}{r_2,...,r_n}\prod_{i=2}^n\binom{\ell_i}{2r_i}}{(1+2\epsilon(r_2+\cdots+r_n))\binom{2(r_2+\cdots+r_n)}{2r_2,...,2r_n}}x_1^{\epsilon+2(r_2+\cdots+r_n)}$$
$$\times x_2^{\ell_2-2r_2}\cdots x_n^{\ell_n-2r_n}\mid\epsilon\in\{0,1\};\ \ell_2,...,\ell_n\in\mathbb{N}\} \tag{2.34}$$

forms a basis of the space of harmonic polynomials in n variables, that is, the space of polynomial solutions for the Laplace equation:

$$u_{x_1x_1}+u_{x_2x_2}+\cdots+u_{x_nx_n}=0. \tag{2.35}$$

The above results can theoretically generalized as follows. Let

$$f_i\in\mathbb{F}[x_1,...,x_i]\qquad\text{for }\ i\in\overline{1,n-1}. \tag{2.36}$$

Consider the equation:

$$(\partial_{x_1}^{m_1}+f_1\partial_{x_2}^{m_2}+\cdots+f_{n-1}\partial_{x_n}^{m_n})(u)=0 \tag{2.37}$$

Denote

$$d_1=\partial_{x_1}^{m_1},\ \ d_r=\partial_{x_1}^{m_1}+f_1\partial_{x_2}^{m_2}+\cdots+f_{r-1}\partial_{x_r}^{m_r}\qquad\text{for }\ r\in\overline{2,n}. \tag{2.38}$$

We will apply Lemma 2.1 with $T_1=d_r,\ T_2=\sum_{i=r}^{n-1}f_i\partial_{x_{i+1}}^{m_{i+1}}$ and $\mathcal{B}=\mathbb{F}[x_1,...,x_r],\ V=\mathbb{F}[x_{r+1},...,x_n]$,

$$V_k=\text{Span}\{x_{r+1}^{\ell_{r+1}}\cdots x_n^{\ell_n}\mid\ell_s\in\mathbb{N},\ \ell_{r+1}+\sum_{i=r+2}^{n}\ell_i(\deg f_{r+1}+1)\cdots(\deg f_{i-1}+1)\leq k\}. \tag{2.39}$$

Take a right inverse $d_1^-=\int_{(x_1)}^{(m_1)}$. Suppose that we have found a right inverse d_s^- of d_s for some $s\in\overline{1,n-1}$ such that

$$x_id_s^-=d_s^-x_i,\ \ \partial_{x_i}d_s^-=d_s^-\partial_{x_i}\qquad\text{for }\ i\in\overline{s+1,n}. \tag{2.40}$$

Lemma 2.1 enable us to take

$$d_{s+1}^-=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-d_s^-f_s)^id_s^-\partial_{x_{s+1}}^{im_{s+1}} \tag{2.41}$$

as a right inverse of d_{s+1}. Obviously,

$$x_i d_{s+1}^- = d_{s+1}^- x_i, \;\; \partial_{x_i} d_{s+1}^- = d_{s+1}^- \partial_{x_i} \qquad \text{for } \; i \in \overline{s+2, n} \tag{2.42}$$

according to (2.38). By induction, we have found a right inverse d_s^- of d_s such that (2.40) holds for each $s \in \overline{1, n}$.

We set

$$\mathcal{S}_r = \{g \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, ..., x_r] \mid d_r(g) = 0\} \qquad \text{for } \; r \in \overline{1, k}. \tag{2.43}$$

By (2.38),

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{m_1 - 1} \mathbb{F} x_1^i. \tag{2.44}$$

Suppose that we have found $\mathcal{S}_r$ for some $r \in \overline{1, n-1}$. Given $h \in \mathcal{S}_r$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$\sigma_{r+1,\ell}(h) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-d_r^- f_r)^i (h) \partial_{x_{r+1}}^{i m_{r+1}} (x_{r+1}^{\ell}), \tag{2.45}$$

which is actually a finite summation. Lemma 2.1 says

$$\mathcal{S}_{r+1} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{r+1,\ell}(\mathcal{S}_r). \tag{2.46}$$

By induction, we obtain:

Theorem 2.3. *The set*

$$\{\sigma_{n,\ell_n} \sigma_{n-1,\ell_{n-1}} \cdots \sigma_{2,\ell_2}(x_1^{\ell_1}) \mid \ell_1 \in \overline{0, m_1 - 1}, \; \ell_2, ..., \ell_n \in \mathbb{N}\} \tag{2.47}$$

forms a basis of the polynomial solution space $\mathcal{S}_n$ of the partial differential equation (2.37).

Example 2.1. Let m_1, m_2, m_3, n_1, n_2 be positive integers. Consider the following equations

$$\partial_x^{m_1}(u) + x^{n_1} \partial_y^{m_2}(u) + y^{n_2} \partial_z^{m_3}(u) = 0 \tag{2.48}$$

Now

$$d_1 = \partial_x^{m_1}, \quad d_2 = \partial_x^{m_1} + x^{n_1} \partial_y^{n_2}. \tag{2.49}$$

Take $d_1^- = \int_{(x)}^{(m_1)}$. Then

$$\sigma_{2,\ell_2}(x^{\ell_1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-\int_{(x)}^{(m_1)} x^{n_1})^i (x^{\ell_1}) \partial_y^{i m_2} (y^{\ell_2}). \tag{2.50}$$

Moreover,

$$d_2^- = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-\int_{(x)}^{(m_1)} x^{n_1})^i \int_{(x)}^{(m_1)} \partial_y^{i m_2} \tag{2.51}$$

by Lemma 2.1. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}(d_2^- y^{n_2})^k &= \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i_1+\cdots+i_k}(\int_{(x)}^{(m_1)} x^{n_1})^{i_1}\int_{(x)}^{m_1}(\int_{x}^{(m_1)} x^{n_1})^{i_2}\int_{(x)}^{(m_1)}\cdots \\ &\quad (\int_{(x)}^{(m_1)} x^{n_1})^{i_k}\int_{(x)}^{(m_1)}\partial_y^{i_1 m_2}y^{n_2}\partial_y^{i_2 m_2}y^{n_2}\cdots\partial_y^{i_k m_2}y^{n_2}. \qquad (2.52)\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma_{3,\ell_3}\sigma_{2,\ell_2}(x^{\ell_1}) \\ =\ & \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-\int_{(x)}^{(m_1)} x^{n_1})^i(x^{\ell_1})\partial_y^{im_2}(y^{\ell_2})z^{\ell_3}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i,i_1,\ldots,i_k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k+i+i_1+\cdots+i_k} \\ & [(\int_{(x)}^{(m_1)} x^{n_1})^{i_1}\int_{(x)}^{(m_1)}(\int_{(x)}^{(m_1)} x^{n_1})^{i_2}\int_{(x)}^{(m_1)}\cdots(\int_{(x)}^{(m_1)} x^{n_1})^{i_k}\int_{(x)}^{(m_1)}(\int_{(x)}^{(m_1)} x^{n_1})^{i}](x^{\ell_1}) \\ & \times[\partial_y^{i_1 m_2}y^{n_2}\partial_y^{i_2 m_2}y^{n_2}\cdots\partial_y^{i_k m_2}y^{n_2}\partial_y^{im_2}](y^{\ell_2})\partial_z^{km_3}(z^{\ell_3}) \\ =\ & \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^i\frac{\prod_{s=0}^{im_2-1}(\ell_2-s)}{\prod_{r=0}^{i-1}\prod_{s=1}^{m_1}(s+n_1+\ell_1+r(m_1+n_1))}x^{\ell_1+i(m_1+n_1)}y^{\ell_2-im_2} \\ & +\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i_0,i_1,\ldots,i_k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{k+i+i_1+\cdots+i_k}}{\prod_{r_0=0}^{i_0-1}\prod_{s_0=1}^{m_1}(s+n_1+\ell_1+r_0(m_1+n_1))} \\ & \times\frac{\left(\prod_{p=0}^{k}\sum_{r=0}^{i_p m_2-1}(pn_2+\ell_2-(\sum_{s=0}^{p-1}i_s)m_2-r)\right)\left(\prod_{r=0}^{km_3-1}(\ell_3-r)\right)}{\prod_{p=1}^{k}\left(\prod_{r_p=0}^{i_p}\prod_{s=1}^{m_1}(s+\ell_1+(p-1)m_1+(r_p+\sum_{q=0}^{p-1}i_q)(m_1+n_1))\right)} \\ & \times x^{(\sum_{r=0}^{k}i_r)(m_1+n_1)+km_1+\ell_1}y^{kn_2+\ell_2-(\sum_{r=0}^{k}i_r)m_2}z^{\ell_3-km_3}. \qquad (2.53)\end{aligned}$$

In order to solve the linear wave equation with dissipation and the generalized Klein-Gordan equation, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let* $d=a\partial_t+\partial_t^2$ *with* $0\neq a\in\mathbb{F}$. *Take a right inverse*

$$d^-=\int_{(t)}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}a^{-r-1}(-\partial_t)^r \qquad (2.54)$$

of d. *Then*

$$(d^-)^i(1)=\frac{t^i}{i!a^i}-\frac{t^{i-1}}{(i-2)!a^{i+1}}+\sum_{r=2}^{i-1}\frac{(-1)^r\prod_{s=1}^{r-1}(i+s)}{(i-r-1)!r!a^{r+i}}t^{i-r}. \qquad (2.55)$$

Proof. For

$$f(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}b_it^i\in\mathbb{F}[t]t, \qquad (2.56)$$

we have

$$d(f(t))=amb_mt^{m-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}i(ab_i+(i+1)b_{i+1})t^{i-1}. \qquad (2.57)$$

Thus $d(f(t)) = 0$ if and only if $f(t) \equiv 0$. So for any given $g(t) \in \mathbb{F}[t]$, there exists a unique $f(t) \in \mathbb{F}[t]t$ such that $d(f(t)) = g(t)$.

Set

$$\xi_{a,i}(t) = \frac{t^i}{i!a^i} - \frac{t^{i-1}}{(i-2)!a^{i+1}} + \sum_{r=2}^{i-1} \frac{(-1)^r \prod_{s=1}^{r-1}(i+s)}{(i-r-1)!r!a^{r+i}} t^{i-r}, \tag{2.58}$$

where we treat

$$\xi_{a,0}(t) = 1, \;\; \xi_{a,1}(t) = \frac{t}{a}, \;\; \xi_{a,2}(t) = \frac{t^2}{2a^2} - \frac{t}{a^3}. \tag{2.59}$$

Easily verify $d(\xi_{a,i}(t)) = \xi_{a,i-1}(t)$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Assume $i > 2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& d(\xi_{a,i}(t)) \\
= \;& (a\partial_t + \partial_t^2)\left(\frac{t^i}{i!a^i} - \frac{t^{i-1}}{(i-2)!a^{i+1}} + \sum_{r=2}^{i-1} \frac{(-1)^r \prod_{s=1}^{r-1}(i+s)}{(i-r-1)!r!a^{r+i}} t^{i-r}\right) \\
= \;& \frac{t^{i-1}}{(i-1)!a^{i-1}} - \frac{(i-1)t^{i-2}}{(i-2)!a^i} + \sum_{r=2}^{i-1} \frac{(-1)^r (i-r) \prod_{s=1}^{r-1}(i+s)}{(i-r-1)!r!a^{r+i-1}} t^{i-r-1} \\
& + \frac{t^{i-2}}{(i-2)!a^i} - \frac{(i-1)t^{i-3}}{(i-3)!a^{i+1}} + \sum_{r=2}^{i-1} \frac{(-1)^r (i-r) \prod_{s=1}^{r-1}(i+s)}{(i-r-2)!r!a^{r+i}} t^{i-r-2} \\
= \;& \frac{t^{i-1}}{(i-1)!a^{i-1}} - \frac{t^{i-2}}{(i-3)!a^i} + \frac{(i-2)(i+1)}{(i-3)!2!a^{i+1}} t^{i-3} - \frac{(i-1)t^{i-3}}{(i-3)!a^{i+1}} \\
& + \sum_{r=3}^{i-1} (-1)^r \left[\frac{(i-r)\prod_{s=1}^{r-1}(i+s)}{r!} - \frac{(i-r+1)\prod_{s=1}^{r-2}(i+s)}{(r-1)!}\right] \frac{t^{i-r-1}}{(i-r-1)!a^{r+i-1}} \\
= \;& \frac{t^{i-1}}{(i-1)!a^{i-1}} - \frac{t^{i-2}}{(i-3)!a^i} + \frac{(i-2)(i+1) - 2(i-1)}{(i-3)!2!a^{i+1}} t^{i-3} \\
& + \sum_{r=3}^{i-1} (-1)^r \frac{[(i-r)(i+r-1) - r(i-r+1)] \prod_{s=1}^{r-2}(i+s)}{(i-r-1)!r!a^{r+i-1}} t^{i-r-1} \\
= \;& \frac{t^{i-1}}{(i-1)!a^{i-1}} - \frac{t^{i-2}}{(i-3)!a^i} + \frac{i(i-3)}{(i-3)!2!a^{i+1}} t^{i-3} \\
& + \sum_{r=3}^{i-1} (-1)^r \frac{i(i-1-r) \prod_{s=1}^{r-2}(i+s)}{(i-r-1)!r!a^{r+i-1}} t^{i-r-1} \\
= \;& \frac{t^{i-1}}{(i-1)!a^{i-1}} - \frac{t^{i-2}}{(i-3)!a^i} + \frac{i}{(i-4)!2!a^{i+1}} t^{i-3} + \sum_{r=3}^{i-2} (-1)^r \frac{i \prod_{s=1}^{r-2}(i+s)}{(i-r-2)!r!a^{r+i-1}} t^{i-r-1} \\
= \;& \frac{t^{i-1}}{(i-1)!a^{i-1}} - \frac{t^{i-2}}{(i-3)!a^i} + \sum_{r=2}^{i-2} (-1)^r \frac{\prod_{s=1}^{r-1}(i-1+s)}{(i-r-2)!r!a^{r+i-1}} t^{i-r-1} \\
= \;& \xi_{a,i-1}(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.60}$$

Since $(d^-)^0(1) = 1$, $(d^-)^i(1) \in \mathbb{F}[t]t$ by (2.54) and $d[(d^-)^i(1)] = (d^-)^{i-1}(1)$ for $i \in \mathbb{N} + 1$, we have $(d^-)^r(1) = \xi_{a,r}(t)$ for $r \in \mathbb{N}$ by the uniqueness, that is, (2.55) holds. $\square$

By Lemma 2.1 and the above lemma, we obtain:

Theorem 2.5. *The set*

$$\Big\{\sum_{r_1,...,r_n=0}^{\infty}\binom{r_1+\cdots+r_n}{r_1,...,r_n}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n}(2r_i)!\binom{\ell_i}{2r_i}\right] \times\xi_{1,r_1+\cdots+r_n}(t)x_1^{\ell_1-2r_1}\cdots x_n^{\ell_n-2r_n}\mid \ell_1,...,\ell_n\in\mathbb{N}\Big\} \tag{2.61}$$

forms a basis of the space of polynomial solution for the linear wave equation with dissipation:

$$u_{tt}+u_t-u_{x_1x_1}-u_{x_2x_2}-\cdots-u_{x_nx_n}=0 \tag{2.62}$$

Consider the following generalization of Klein-Gordan equation:

$$u_{tt}-u_{xx}-xu_{yy}-yu_{zz}+a^2u=0, \tag{2.63}$$

where a is a nonzero real number. Changing variable $u=e^{a\sqrt{-1}t}v$, we get

$$v_{tt}+2a\sqrt{-1}v_t-v_{xx}-xv_{yy}-yv_{zz}=0. \tag{2.64}$$

We write

$$\xi_{2a\sqrt{-1},i}=\zeta_{i,0}(t)+\zeta_{i,1}(t)\sqrt{-1}, \tag{2.65}$$

where $\zeta_{i,0}(t)$ and $\zeta_{i,1}(t)$ are real functions. According to (2.58),

$$\zeta_{2i,0}(t)=(-1)^i\left[\frac{t^{2i}}{(2i)!(2a)^{2i}}+\sum_{r=1}^{i-1}\frac{(-1)^r\prod_{s=1}^{2r-1}(2i+s)}{(2r)!(2(i-r)-1)!(2a)^{2(i+r)}}t^{2(i-r)}\right], \tag{2.66}$$

$$\zeta_{2r,1}(t)=(-1)^i[\frac{t^{2r-1}}{(2r-2)!(2a)^{2i+1}} +\sum_{r=1}^{i-1}\frac{(-1)^r\prod_{s=1}^{2r}(2i+s)}{(2r+1)![2(i-r-1)]!(2a)^{2i+2r+1}}t^{2i-2r-1}], \tag{2.67}$$

$$\zeta_{2i+1,0}(t)=(-1)^i[\frac{t^{2i}}{(2i-1)!(2a)^{2(i+1)}} +\sum_{r=1}^{i-1}\frac{(-1)^r\prod_{s=1}^{2r}(2i+s+1)}{(2r+1)!(2i-2r-1)!(2a)^{2(i+r+1)}}t^{2(i-r)}], \tag{2.68}$$

$$\zeta_{2r+1,1}(t)=(-1)^{i+1}\left[\frac{t^{2i+1}}{(2i+1)!(2a)^{2i+1}}+\sum_{r=1}^{i}\frac{(-1)^r\prod_{s=1}^{2r-1}(2i+s+1)}{(2r)!(2i-2r)!(2a)^{2i+2r+1}}t^{2i-2r+1}\right]. \tag{2.69}$$

On the other hand, (5.22) in [X2] tells

$$e^{t(\partial_x^2+x\partial_y^2+y\partial_z^2)}=e^{\xi_3}e^{\xi_2}e^{\xi_1} \tag{2.70}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_1 &= \int_0^t (\partial_x + \int_0^{y_1} (\partial_y + y_2\partial_z^2)^2 dy_2)^2 dy_1 \\ &= \int_0^t (\partial_x + y_1\partial_y^2 + y_1^2\partial_y\partial_z^2 + y_1^3\partial_z^4/3)^2 dy_1 \\ &= t\partial_x^2 + t^2\partial_x\partial_y^2 + \frac{t^3}{3}(\partial_y^4 + 2\partial_x\partial_y\partial_z^2) + \frac{t^4}{6}(3\partial_y^3\partial_z^2 + \partial_x\partial_z^4) \\ &\quad + \frac{t^5}{3}\partial_y^2\partial_z^4 + \frac{t^6}{9}\partial_y\partial_z^6 + \frac{t^7}{21}\partial_z^8, \end{aligned} \tag{2.71}$$

$$\xi_2 = x(t\partial_y^2 + t^2\partial_y\partial_z^2 + t^3\partial_z^4/3), \quad \xi_3 = ty\partial_z^2. \tag{2.72}$$

For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{11}) \in \mathbb{N}^{11}$, we define

$$|\alpha|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{7} i\alpha_i + \alpha_8 + 2\alpha_9 + 3\alpha_{10} + \alpha_{11}, \quad \alpha! = \prod_{i=1}^{11} \alpha_i! \tag{2.73}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_\alpha &= \frac{|\alpha|_1!}{\alpha!} 2^{-\alpha_4} 3^{-\alpha_3-\alpha_4-\alpha_5-2\alpha_6-\alpha_7-\alpha_{10}} 7^{-\alpha_7} x^{\alpha_8+\alpha_9+\alpha_{10}} y^{\alpha_{11}} \\ &\quad \times \partial_x^{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \partial_y^{2(\alpha_2+\alpha_5+\alpha_8)+\alpha_6+\alpha_9} \partial_z^{2(2\alpha_5+3\alpha_6+4\alpha_7+\alpha_9+2\alpha_{10}+\alpha_{11})} \\ &\quad \times (\partial_y^4 + 2\partial_x\partial_y\partial_z^2)^{\alpha_3} (3\partial_y^3\partial_z^2 + \partial_x\partial_z^4)^{\alpha_4}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.74}$$

Then

$$\sum_{m_1,m_2,m_3=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{11}} \zeta_{|\alpha|_1}(t) d_\alpha(x^{m_1}y^{m_2}z^{m_3})) \tag{2.75}$$

is the solution space in $\mathbb{C}[t, x, y, z]$ of the equation (2.64) by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4.

Theorem 2.6. *The followings are real solutions of the equation (2.63):*

$$u = \cos at \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{11}} \zeta_{|\alpha|_1,0} d_\alpha(x^{m_1}y^{m_2}z^{m_3}) - \sin at \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{11}} \zeta_{|\alpha|_1,1} d_\alpha(x^{m_1}y^{m_2}z^{m_3}), \tag{2.76}$$

$$u = \sin at \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{11}} \zeta_{|\alpha|_1,0} d_\alpha(x^{m_1}y^{m_2}z^{m_3}) + \cos at \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{11}} \zeta_{|\alpha|_1,1} d_\alpha(x^{m_1}y^{m_2}z^{m_3}), \tag{2.77}$$

where $m_1, m_2, m_3 \in \mathbb{N}$.

The following lemma will be used to handle some special cases when the operator T_1 in Lemma 2.1 does not have a right inverse and to solve certain initial value problems in next section.

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a free module over a subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ generated by a filtrated subspace $V = \bigcup_{r=0}^{\infty} V_r$ (i.e., $V_r \subset V_{r+1}$). Let T_0 be a linear operator on $\mathcal{A}$ with right inverse T_0^- such that*

$$T_0(\mathcal{B}), T_0^-(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{B}, \;\; T_0(\eta_1\eta_2) = T_0(\eta_1)\eta_2 \qquad \textit{for } \eta_1 \in \mathcal{B}, \; \eta_2 \in V, \tag{2.78}$$

and let $T_1, ..., T_m$ be commuting linear operators on $\mathcal{A}$ such that $T_i(V) \subset V$,

$$T_0 T_i = T_i T_0, \qquad T_i(f\zeta) = fT_i(\zeta) \qquad \text{for } \; i \in \overline{1,m}, \; f \in \mathcal{B}, \; \zeta \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{2.79}$$

If $T_1^m(h) = 0$ with $h \in \mathcal{B}$ and $g \in V$, then

$$u = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\sum_{s=1}^{m} (T_0^-)^s T_s)^i (hg) = \sum_{i_1,...,i_m=0}^{\infty} \binom{i_1 + \cdots + i_m}{i_1, ..., i_m} (T_0^-)^{\sum_{s=1}^m s i_s}(h)(\prod_{r=1}^{m} T_r^{i_r})(g) \tag{2.80}$$

is a solution of the equation:

$$(T_0^m - \sum_{r=1}^{m} T_0^{m-i} T_i)(u) = 0. \tag{2.81}$$

Suppose

$$T_i(V_r) \subset V_{r-1} \qquad \text{for } \; i \in \overline{1,m}, \; r \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{2.82}$$

where $V_{-1} = \{0\}$. Then any polynomial solution of (2.81) is a linear combinations of the solutions of the form (2.80).

Proof. Note that

$$T_0^{m-i} = T_0^m (T_0^-)^i \qquad \text{for } \; i \in \overline{1,m} \tag{2.83}$$

and

$$\sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_m=i+1} \binom{i+1}{i_1, ..., i_m} y_1^{i_1} \cdots y_m^{i_m} = (y_1 + \cdots + y_m)^{i+1}$$
$$= \sum_{r=1}^{m} \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_m=i} \binom{i}{i_1, ..., i_m} y_r y_1^{i_1} \cdots y_m^{i_m}. \tag{2.84}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &(T_0^m - \sum_{p=1}^{m} T_0^{m-p} T_p) \left[\sum_{i_1,...,i_m=0}^{\infty} \binom{i_1 + \cdots + i_m}{i_1, ..., i_m} (T_0^-)^{\sum_{s=1}^m s i_s}(h)(\prod_{r=1}^{m} T_r^{i_r})(g) \right] \\ = &\sum_{i_1,...,i_m \in \mathbb{N};\, i_1+\cdots+i_m>0} \binom{i_1 + \cdots + i_m}{i_1, ..., i_m} T_0^m (T_0^-)^{\sum_{s=1}^m s i_s}(h)(\prod_{r=1}^{m} T_r^{i_r})(g) \\ &- \sum_{i_1,...,i_m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{m} \binom{i_1 + \cdots + i_m}{i_1, ..., i_m} T_0^m (T_0^-)^{i_p + \sum_{s=1}^m s i_s}(h)(T_p \prod_{r=1}^{m} T_r^{i_r})(g) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.85}$$

Suppose that (2.82) holds. Let $u \in \mathcal{B}V_k \setminus \mathcal{B}V_{k-1}$ be a solution of (2.81). Take a basis $\{\phi_i + V_{k-1} \mid i \in I\}$ of V_k/V_{k-1}. Write

$$u = \sum_{i \in I} h_i \phi_i + u', \qquad h_i \in \mathcal{B}, \; u' \in \mathcal{B}V_{k-1}. \tag{2.86}$$

Since

$$T_r(\phi_i) \in V_{k-1} \qquad \text{for } \; i \in I, \; r \in \overline{1,m} \tag{2.87}$$

by (2.82), we have

$$(T_0^m-\sum_{r=1}^{m}T_0^{m-i}T_i)(u)\equiv\sum_{i\in I}T_0^m(h_i)\phi_i\equiv 0\pmod{\mathcal{B}V_{k-1}}. \tag{2.88}$$

Hence

$$T_0^m(h_i)=0\qquad\text{for }\ i\in I. \tag{2.89}$$

Now

$$u-\sum_{j\in I}\sum_{i_1,...,i_m=0}^{\infty}\binom{i_1+\cdots+i_m}{i_1,...,i_m}(T_0^-)^{\sum_{s=1}^m si_s}(h_j)(\prod_{r=1}^{m}T_r^{i_r})(\phi_j)\in\mathcal{B}V_{k-1} \tag{2.90}$$

is a solution of (2.81). By induction on k, u is a linear combinations of the solutions of the form (2.80). $\qquad\square$

We remark that the above lemma does not imply Lemma 2.1 because T_1 and T_2 in Lemma 2.1 may not commute.

Let d_1 be a differential operator on $\mathbb{F}[x_1,x_2,...,x_r]$ and let d_2 be a locally nilpotent differential operator on $V=\mathbb{F}[x_{r+1},...,x_n]$. Set

$$V_i=\{f\in V\mid d_2^i(f)\neq 0,\ d_2^{i+1}(f)=0\}\qquad\text{for }\ i\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{2.91}$$

Take a subset $\{\psi_{i,j}\mid i\in\mathbb{N}+1,\ j\in I_i\}$ of V such that $\{\psi_{i,j}+V_{i-1}\mid j\in I_i\}$ forms a basis of V_i/V_{i-1} for $i\in\mathbb{N}+1$. Fix $h\in\mathbb{F}[x_1,...,x_r]$.

Lemma 2.8. *Let i be a positive integer. Suppose that*

$$u=\sum_{j\in I_i}f_j\psi_{i,j}+u'\in\mathbb{F}[x_1,x_2,...,x_n] \tag{2.92}$$

with $f_j\in\mathbb{F}[x_1,...,x_r]$ and $d_2^i(u')=0$ is a solution of the equation:

$$(d_1-hd_2)(u)=0. \tag{2.93}$$

Then the system

$$\xi_0=f_j,\ \ d_1(\xi_{s+1})=h\xi_s\qquad\textit{for }\ s\in\overline{0,i-1} \tag{2.94}$$

has a solution $\xi_1,...,\xi_i\in\mathbb{F}[x_1,...,x_r]$ for each $j\in I_i$.

Proof. Denote $V_{-1}=\{0\}$. Observe that if $\{f_j+V_p\mid p\in J\}$ is a linearly independent subset of V_{p+1}/V_p, then $\{d_2^s(f_j)+V_{p-s}\mid p\in J\}$ is a linearly independent subset of V_{p-s+1}/V_{p-s} for $s\in\overline{1,p+1}$ by (2.91). By induction, we take a subset$\{\phi_{i-s,j}\mid j\in J_{i-s}\}$ of V_{i-s} for each $s\in\overline{1,i}$ such that

$$\{d_2^s(\psi_{i,j_1})+V_{i-s-1},d_2^{s-p}(\phi_{i-p,j_2})+V_{i-s-1}\mid p\in\overline{1,s},\ j_1\in I_i,\ j_2\in J_{i-p}\} \tag{2.95}$$

forms a basis of V_{i-s}/V_{i-s-1} for $s\in\overline{1,i}$. Denote

$$\mathcal{B}=\sum_{s=1}^{i}\sum_{p=0}^{i-s}\sum_{j\in J_{i-s}}\mathbb{F}[x_1,...,x_r]d_2^p(\phi_{i-s,j}). \tag{2.96}$$

Now we write

$$u=\sum_{j\in I_i}[f_j\psi_{i,j}+\sum_{s=1}^{i}f_{s,j}d^s(\psi_{i,j})]+v,\qquad v\in\mathcal{B},\;f_{s,j}\in\mathbb{F}[x_1,...,x_r]. \tag{2.97}$$

Then (2.93) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j\in I_i}[d_1(f_j)\psi_{i,j}+(d_1(f_{1,j})-hf_j)d_2(\psi_{i,j})+\sum_{s=2}^{i}(d_1(f_{s,j})-hf_{s-1,j})d^s(\psi_{i,j})]\\&+(d_1-hd_2)(v)=0.\end{aligned} \tag{2.98}$$

Since $(d_1-hd_2)(v)\in\mathcal{B}$, we have:

$$d_1(f_j)=0,\;\;d_1(f_{1,j})=hf_j,\;\;d_1(f_{s,j})=hf_{s-1,j} \tag{2.99}$$

for $j\in I_i$ and $s\in\overline{2,i}$. So (2.94) has a solution $\xi_1,...,\xi_i\in\mathbb{F}[x_1,...,x_r]$ for each $j\in I_i$. $\square$

Set

$$\mathcal{S}_0=\{f\in\mathbb{F}[x_1,...,x_r]\mid d_1(f)=0\} \tag{2.100}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_i=\{f_0\in\mathcal{S}_0\mid d_1(f_s)=hf_{s-1}\text{ for some }\;f_1,...,f_i\in\mathbb{F}[x_1,...,x_r]\} \tag{2.101}$$

for $i\in\mathbb{N}+1$. For each $i\in\mathbb{N}+1$ and $f\in\mathcal{S}_i$, we fix $\{\sigma_1(f),...,\sigma_i(f)\}\subset\mathbb{F}[x_1,...,x_r]$ such that

$$d_1(\sigma_1(f))=f,\;\;d_1(\sigma_s(f))=\sigma_{s-1}(f)\qquad\text{for }\;s\in\overline{2,i}. \tag{2.102}$$

Denote $\sigma_0(f)=f$. By the proof of Lemma 2.1 and the above Lemma, we obtain:

Lemma 2.9. *The set*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j\in I_i}\sum_{f\in\mathcal{S}_i}\mathbb{F}(\sum_{s=0}^{i}\sigma_s(f)d_2^s(\psi_{i,j})) \tag{2.103}$$

is the solution space of the equation (2.93) in $\mathbb{F}[x_1,x_2,...,x_n]$.

Let $\epsilon\in\{1,-1\}$ and let λ be a nonzero real number. Next we want to find all the polynomial solutions of the equation:

$$u_{tt}+\frac{\lambda}{t}u_t-\epsilon(u_{x_1x_1}+u_{x_2x_2}+\cdots+u_{x_nx_n})=0, \tag{2.104}$$

which is the generalized anisymmetrical Laplace equation (cf. [A]) if $\epsilon=-1$. Rewrite the above equation as:

$$tu_{tt}+\lambda u_t-\epsilon t(u_{x_1x_1}+u_{x_2x_2}+\cdots+u_{x_nx_n})=0. \tag{2.105}$$

Set

$$d=t\partial_t^2+\lambda\partial_t. \tag{2.106}$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{S}=\{f\in\mathbb{F}[t]\mid d(f)=0\}. \tag{2.107}$$

Note that

$$d(t^m)=m(\lambda+m-1)t^{m-1}\qquad\text{for }\; m\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{2.108}$$

So

$$\mathcal{S}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\mathbb{F} & \text{if } \lambda\not\in-(\mathbb{N}+1),\\ \mathbb{F}+\mathbb{F}t^{-\lambda+1} & \text{if } \lambda\in-(\mathbb{N}+1).\end{array}\right. \tag{2.109}$$

In particular, $t^{-\lambda}\not\in d(\mathbb{F}[t])$ and so d does not have a right inverse when λ is a negative integer.

Set

$$\phi_0(t)=1,\;\;\phi_i(t)=\frac{t^{2i}}{i!2^i\prod_{r=0}^{i-1}(\lambda+2r+1)} \tag{2.110}$$

for $i\in\mathbb{N}+1$ and when $\lambda\neq-1,-3,...,-(2i-1)$. When $\lambda\in-(\mathbb{N}+1)$, we set

$$\psi_0=t^{1-\lambda},\;\;\psi_i=\frac{t^{2i+1-\lambda}}{2^i i!\prod_{r=1}^{i}(2r+1-\lambda)}\qquad\text{for }\; i\in\mathbb{N}+1. \tag{2.111}$$

Define

$$V=\mathbb{F}[x_1,x_2,...,x_n],\;\;\Delta_n=\sum_{r=1}^{n}\partial_{x_r}^2,\;\;\Delta_{2,n}=\sum_{s=2}^{n}\partial_{x_s}^2 \tag{2.112}$$

and

$$V_i=\{f\in V\mid \Delta_n^i(f)=0\}\qquad\text{for }\; i\in\mathbb{N}+1. \tag{2.113}$$

Observe

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j_1,...,j_i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{j_1+\cdots+j_i}\binom{j_1+\cdots+j_i}{j_1,...,j_i}\prod_{r=1}^{i}\left[\binom{i}{r}t^r\right]^{j_r}&=\sum_{p=0}^{\infty}\left(-\sum_{s=1}^{i}\binom{i}{s}t^i\right)^p\\ =\;\;\frac{1}{(1+t)^i}=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(-1)^r\binom{i+r-1}{r}t^r&\end{aligned} \tag{2.114}$$

for $|t|<1$. Applying Lemma 2.7 to $\Delta_n^i=\sum_{r=0}^{i}\binom{i}{r}\partial_{x_1}^{2(i-r)}\Delta_{2,n}^r$ with $m=i$, $T_0=\partial_{x_1}^2$ and $T_r=-\binom{i}{r}\Delta_{2,n}^r$ for $r\in\overline{1,i}$, we get a basis

$$\left\{\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(-1)^r\binom{i+r-1}{r}\frac{x_1^{\ell_1+2r}}{(\ell_1+2r)!}\Delta_{2,n}^r(x_2^{\ell_2}\cdots x_n^{\ell_n})\mid \ell_1\in\overline{0,2i-1},\;\ell_2,...,\ell_n\in\mathbb{N}\right\} \tag{2.115}$$

of V_i. Hence we obtain:

Theorem 2.10. *If $\lambda\not\in-(\mathbb{N}+1)$, then the set*

$$\{\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\epsilon^r\phi_r(t)\Delta_n^r(x_1^{\ell_1}\cdots x_n^{\ell_n})\mid \ell_1,...,\ell_n\in\mathbb{N}\} \tag{2.116}$$

forms a basis of the space of the polynomial solutions for the equation (2.104). When λ is a negative even integer, the set

$$\{\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\epsilon^r\phi_r(t)\Delta_n^n(x_1^{\ell_1}\cdots x_n^{\ell_n}),\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\epsilon^r\psi_r(t)\Delta_n^n(x_1^{\ell_1}\cdots x_n^{\ell_n})\mid \ell_1,...,\ell_n\in\mathbb{N}\} \tag{2.117}$$

forms a basis of the space of the polynomial solutions for the equation (2.104). Assume that $\lambda = -2k-1$ *is a negative odd integer. The set*

$$\{\sum_{s=0}^{k}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(-1)^r\epsilon^s\binom{k+r-1}{r}\phi_s(t)\Delta_n^s\left[\frac{x_1^{\ell_1+2r}}{(\ell_1+2r)!}\Delta_{2,n}^r(x_2^{\ell_2}\cdots x_n^{\ell_n})\right],$$
$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\epsilon^r\psi_r(t)\Delta_n^r(x_1^{\ell_1'}x_2^{\ell_2}\cdots x_n^{\ell_n}) \mid \ell_1\in\overline{0,2i-1},\ \ell_1',\ell_2,...,\ell_n\in\mathbb{N}\} \tag{2.118}$$

is a basis of the space of the polynomial solutions for the equation (2.104).

Finally, we consider the special Euler-Poisson-Darboux equation:

$$u_{tt}-u_{x_1x_1}-u_{x_2x_2}-\cdots-u_{x_nx_n}-\frac{m(m+1)}{t^2}u=0 \tag{2.119}$$

with $m\neq -1,0$. Change the equations to:

$$t^2u_{tt}-t^2(u_{x_1x_1}+u_{x_2x_2}+\cdots+u_{x_nx_n})-m(m+1)u=0. \tag{2.120}$$

Letting $u=t^{m+1}v$, we have:

$$t^2u_{tt}=m(m+1)t^{m+1}v+2(m+1)t^{m+2}v_t+t^{m+3}v_{tt}. \tag{2.121}$$

Substituting (2.121) into (2.120), we get

$$tv_{tt}+2(m+1)v_t-t(v_{x_1x_1}+v_{x_2x_2}+\cdots+v_{x_nx_n})=0. \tag{2.122}$$

If we change variable $u=t^{-m}v$, then the equation (2.120) becomes

$$tv_{tt}-2mv_t-t(v_{x_1x_1}+v_{x_2x_2}+\cdots+_{x_nx_n})=0. \tag{2.123}$$

Equations (2.121) and (2.122) are special cases of the equation (2.105) with $\epsilon=1$, and $\lambda=2(m+1)$ and $\lambda=-2m$, respectively.

3 Initial Value Problems

In this section, we will solve two initial value problems.

Let m and $n>1$ be positive integers and let

$$f_i(\partial_{x_2},...,\partial_{x_n})\in\mathbb{R}[\partial_{x_2},...,\partial_{x_n}]\qquad\text{for }\ i\in\overline{1,m}. \tag{3.1}$$

We want to solve the equation:

$$(\partial_{x_1}^m-\sum_{r=1}^{m}\partial_{x_1}^{m-i}f_i(\partial_{x_2},...,\partial_{x_n}))(u)=0 \tag{3.2}$$

with $x_1\in\mathbb{R}$ and $x_r\in[-a_r,a_r]$ for $r\in\overline{2,n}$, subject to the condition

$$\partial_{x_1}^s(u)(0,x_2,...,x_n)=g_s(x_2,...,x_n)\qquad\text{for }\ s\in\overline{0,m-1}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $a_2, ..., a_n$ are positive real numbers and $g_0, ..., g_{m-1}$ are continuous functions. For convenience, we denote

$$k_i^\dagger = \frac{k_i}{a_i}, \quad \vec{k}^\dagger = (k_2^\dagger, ..., k_n^\dagger) \qquad \text{for } \vec{k} = (k_2, ..., k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1}. \tag{3.4}$$

Set

$$e^{2\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x})\sqrt{-1}} = e^{\sum_{r=2}^n 2\pi k_r^\dagger x_r \sqrt{-1}}. \tag{3.5}$$

For $r \in \overline{0, m-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{r!} \sum_{i_1,...,i_m=0}^{\infty} \binom{i_1 + \cdots + i_m}{i_1, ..., i_m} \int_{(x_1)}^{(\sum_{s=1}^m s i_s)} (x_1^r)(\prod_{p=1}^m f_p(\partial_{x_2}, ..., \partial_{x_n})^{i_p})(e^{2\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x})\sqrt{-1}}) \\ = &\sum_{i_1,...,i_m=0}^{\infty} \binom{i_1 + \cdots + i_m}{i_1, ..., i_m} \frac{x_1^{r + \sum_{s=1}^m s i_s}}{(r + \sum_{s=1}^m s i_s)!} \\ &\times \left[\prod_{p=1}^m f_p(2k_2^\dagger \pi\sqrt{-1}, ..., 2k_n^\dagger \pi\sqrt{-1})^{i_p}\right] e^{2\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x})\sqrt{-1}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

is a complex solution of the equation (3.2) by Lemma 2.7 for any $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i_1,...,i_m=0}^{\infty} \binom{i_1 + \cdots + i_m}{i_1, ..., i_m} \frac{x_1^r \prod_{p=1}^m (x_1^p f_p(2k_2^\dagger \pi\sqrt{-1}, ..., 2k_n^\dagger \pi\sqrt{-1}))^{i_p}}{(r + \sum_{s=1}^m s i_s)!} \\ = &\phi_r(x_1, \vec{k}) + \psi_r(x_1, \vec{k})\sqrt{-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $\phi_r(x_1, \vec{k})$ and $\psi_r(x_1, \vec{k})$ are real functions. Moreover,

$$\partial_{x_1}^s(\phi_r)(0, \vec{k}) = \delta_{r,s}, \quad \partial_{x_1}^s(\psi_r)(0, \vec{k}) = 0 \qquad \text{for } s \in \overline{0, r}. \tag{3.8}$$

We define $\vec{0} \prec \vec{k}$ if its first nonzero coordinate is a positive integer. By superposition principle and Fourier expansions, we get:

Theorem 3.1. *The solution of the equation (3.2) subject to the condition (3.3) is:*

$$\begin{aligned} u = &\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\vec{0} \preceq \vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} [b_r(\vec{k})(\phi_r(x_1, \vec{k}^\dagger) \cos 2\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) - \psi_r(x_1, \vec{k}^\dagger) \sin 2\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x})) \\ &+ c_r(\vec{k})(\phi_r(x_1, \vec{k}^\dagger) \sin 2\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) + \psi_r(x_1, \vec{k}^\dagger) \cos 2\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}))], \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} b_r(\vec{k}) = &\frac{1}{2^{n-2} a_2 \cdots a_n} \int_{-a_2}^{a_2} \cdots \int_{-a_n}^{a_n} g_r(x_2, ..., x_n) \cos 2\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x})\, dx_n \cdots dx_2 \\ &- \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} (b_s(\vec{k}) \partial_{x_1}^r(\phi_s)(0, \vec{k}) + c_s(\vec{k}) \partial_{x_1}^r(\psi_s)(0, \vec{k})) \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} c_r(\vec{k}) = &\frac{1}{2^{n-2} a_2 \cdots a_n} \int_{-a_2}^{a_2} \cdots \int_{-a_n}^{a_n} g_r(x_2, ..., x_n) \sin 2\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x})\, dx_n \cdots dx_2 \\ &- \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} (c_s(\vec{k}) \partial_{x_1}^r(\phi_s)(0, \vec{k}) - b_s(\vec{k}) \partial_{x_1}^r(\psi_s)(0, \vec{k})). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

The convergence of the series (3.9) is guaranteed by the Kovalevskaya Theorem on the existence and uniqueness of the solution of linear partial differential equations when the functions in (3.3) are analytic.

Remark 3.2. (1) If we take $f_i = b_i$ with $i \in \overline{1,m}$ to be constant functions and $\vec{k} = \vec{0}$ in (3.6), we get m fundamental solutions

$$\varphi_r(x) = \sum_{i_1,...,i_m=0}^{\infty} \binom{i_1 + \cdots + i_m}{i_1, ..., i_m} \frac{x^r \prod_{p=1}^{m} (b_p x^p)^{i_p}}{(r + \sum_{s=1}^{m} s i_s)!}, \qquad r \in \overline{0, m-1}, \tag{3.12}$$

of the constant-coefficient ordinary differential equation

$$y^{(m)} - b_1 y^{(m-1)} - \cdots - b_{m-1} y' - b_m = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

Given the initial conditions:

$$y^{(r)}(0) = c_r \qquad \text{for } \ r \in \overline{0, m-1}, \tag{3.14}$$

we define $a_0 = c_0$ and

$$a_r = c_r - \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{i_1,...,i_{r-s} \in \mathbb{N};\ \sum_{p=1}^{r} p i_p = r-s} \binom{r-s}{i_1, ..., i_{r-s}} a_s b_1^{i_1} \cdots b_{r-s}^{i_{r-s}} \tag{3.15}$$

by induction on $r \in \overline{1, m-1}$. Now the solution of (3.13) subject to the condition (3.14) is exactly

$$y = \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} a_r \varphi_r(x). \tag{3.16}$$

From the above results, it seems that the following functions

$$\mathcal{Y}_r(y_1, ..., y_m) = \sum_{i_1,...,i_m=0}^{\infty} \binom{i_1 + \cdots + i_m}{i_1, ..., i_m} \frac{y_1^{i_1} y_2^{i_2} \cdots y_m^{i_m}}{(r + \sum_{s=1}^{m} s i_s)!} \qquad \text{for } \ r \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3.17}$$

are important natural functions. Indeed,

$$\mathcal{Y}_1(x) = e^x, \ \ \mathcal{Y}_0(0, -x) = \cos x, \ \ \mathcal{Y}_1(0, -x) = \frac{\sin x}{x}, \tag{3.18}$$

$$\varphi_r(x) = x^r \mathcal{Y}_r(b_1 x, b_2 x^2, ..., b_m x^m) \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_r(x_1, \vec{x}) + \psi_r(x_1, \vec{x})\sqrt{-1} \\ = \ & x_1^r \mathcal{Y}_r(x_1 f_1(2k_2^\dagger \pi \sqrt{-1}, ..., 2k_n^\dagger \pi \sqrt{-1})), ..., x_1^m f_m(2k_2^\dagger \pi \sqrt{-1}, ..., 2k_n^\dagger \pi \sqrt{-1})) \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

for $r \in \overline{0, m}$.

(2) We can solve the initial value problem (3.2) and (3.3) with the constant-coefficient differential operators $f_i(\partial_2, ..., \partial_n)$ replaced by variable-coefficient differential operators

$\phi_i(\partial_2,...,\partial_{n_1})\psi_i(x_{n_1+1},...,x_n)$ for some $2 < n_1 < n$, where $\phi_i(\partial_2,...,\partial_{n_1})$ are polynomials in $\partial_2,...,\partial_{n_1}$ and $\psi(x_{n_1+1},...,x_n)$ are polynomials in $x_{n_1+1},...,x_n$.

Let $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{N},\mathcal{E})$ be a tree with n nodes. Now we consider the following *generalized wave equation*

$$u_{tt} - d_{\mathcal{T}}(u) = 0 \tag{3.21}$$

(cf. (1.6)) with $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_r \in [-a_r, a_r]$ for $r \in \overline{1,n}$ subject to the condition

$$u(0,x_1,...,x_n) = g_0(x_1,...,x_n),\ u_t(0,x_1,...,x_n) = g_1(x_1,...,x_n). \tag{3.22}$$

Denote

$$\vec{x} = (x_1,...,x_n),\ \ k_i^\dagger = \frac{k_i}{a_i},\ \ \vec{k}^\dagger = (k_1^\dagger,...,k_n^\dagger) \qquad \text{for}\ \ \vec{k} = (k_1,...,k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n. \tag{3.23}$$

For $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}$,

$$\sum_{i=0}^\infty \int_{(t)}^{(2i)} (t^\epsilon) d_{\mathcal{T}}^i (e^{2\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger\cdot\vec{x})\sqrt{-1}}) \tag{3.24}$$

are solutions of (3.21) by Lemma 2.1. Moreover,

$$\sum_{i=0}^\infty \int_{(t)}^{(2i)} (1) d_{\mathcal{T}}^i = \frac{1}{2}(e^{td_{\mathcal{T}}} + e^{-td_{\mathcal{T}}}),\ \ \sum_{i=0}^\infty \int_{(t)}^{(2i)} (t) d_{\mathcal{T}}^i = \frac{1}{2}\int_{(t)} (e^{td_{\mathcal{T}}} + e^{-td_{\mathcal{T}}}). \tag{3.25}$$

Recall that Ψ is the set of all tips in $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{N},\mathcal{E})$. A node ι_j is called a *descendant* of ι_i if $i < j$ and there exist a sequence

$$i_0 = i < i_1 < \cdots i_{r-1} < i_r = j \tag{3.26}$$

such that

$$(\iota_{i_r}, \iota_{r+1}) \in \mathcal{E} \qquad \text{for}\ \ r \in \overline{0, r-1}. \tag{3.27}$$

Set

$$\mathcal{D}_i = \text{the set of all descendants of } \iota_i. \tag{3.28}$$

Let

$$\tilde{\xi}_r(t) = t\partial_{x_r}^{m_r} \qquad \text{for}\ \ \iota_r \in \Psi. \tag{3.29}$$

Suppose that we have defined $\{\tilde{\xi}_s(t) \mid \iota_s \in \mathcal{D}_i\}$. Denote

$$\Theta_i = \{\iota_s \in \mathcal{N} \mid (\iota_i, \iota_s) \in \mathcal{E}\} \subset \mathcal{D}_i. \tag{3.30}$$

Now we define

$$\tilde{\xi}_i(t) = \int_0^t (\partial_{x_i} + \sum_{\iota_s \in \Theta_i} \tilde{\xi}_s(y_i))^2 dy_i. \tag{3.31}$$

By induction, we have defined all $\{\tilde{\xi}_1(t),...,\tilde{\xi}_n(t)\}$. Moreover, we let

$$\xi_1(t,\partial_{\xi_1},...,\partial_{x_n}) = \tilde{\xi}_1(t),\ \ \xi_i(t,\partial_{\xi_1},...,\partial_{x_n}) = x_{p(i)}\tilde{\xi}_i(t) \tag{3.32}$$

for $i \in \overline{2,n}$, where $\iota_{p(i)}$ is the unique (parent) node such that $(\iota_{p(i)}, \iota_i) \in \mathcal{E}$. According to (5.48) in [X2],

$$e^{td_{\mathcal{T}}} = e^{\xi_n(t,\partial_{\xi_1},...,\partial_{x_n})} e^{\xi_{n-1}(t,\partial_{\xi_1},...,\partial_{x_n})} \cdots e^{\xi_1(t,\partial_{\xi_1},...,\partial_{x_n})}. \tag{3.33}$$

In fact,

$$e^{td_{\mathcal{T}}}(e^{2\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger\cdot\vec{x})\sqrt{-1}}) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(t,2\pi k_1^\dagger\sqrt{-1},...,2\pi k_n^\dagger\sqrt{-1})} e^{2\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger\cdot\vec{x})\sqrt{-1}} \tag{3.34}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{\vec{k}}(t,x_1,...,x_n) &= \frac{1}{4}(e^{2\pi\vec{(}k^\dagger\cdot\vec{x})\sqrt{-1}+\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(t,2\pi k_1^\dagger\sqrt{-1},...,2\pi k_n^\dagger\sqrt{-1})} \\ &\quad + e^{2\pi\vec{(}k^\dagger\cdot\vec{x})\sqrt{-1}-\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(t,2\pi k_1^\dagger\sqrt{-1},...,2\pi k_n^\dagger\sqrt{-1})} \\ &\quad + e^{-2\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger\cdot\vec{x})\sqrt{-1}+\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(t,-2\pi k_1^\dagger\sqrt{-1},...,-2\pi k_n^\dagger\sqrt{-1})} \\ &\quad + e^{-2\pi\vec{(}k^\dagger\cdot\vec{x})\sqrt{-1}-\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(t,-2\pi k_1^\dagger\sqrt{-1},...,-2\pi k_n^\dagger\sqrt{-1})}),\end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{\vec{k}}(t,x_1,...,x_n) &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{-1}}(e^{2\pi\vec{(}k^\dagger\cdot\vec{x})\sqrt{-1}+\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(t,2\pi k_1^\dagger\sqrt{-1},...,2\pi k_n^\dagger\sqrt{-1})} \\ &\quad + e^{2\pi\vec{(}k^\dagger\cdot\vec{x})\sqrt{-1}-\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(t,2\pi k_1^\dagger\sqrt{-1},...,2\pi k_n^\dagger\sqrt{-1})} \\ &\quad - e^{-2\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger\cdot\vec{x})\sqrt{-1}+\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(t,-2\pi k_1^\dagger\sqrt{-1},...,-2\pi k_n^\dagger\sqrt{-1})} \\ &\quad - e^{-2\pi\vec{(}k^\dagger\cdot\vec{x})\sqrt{-1}-\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(t,-2\pi k_1^\dagger\sqrt{-1},...,-2\pi k_n^\dagger\sqrt{-1})})\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

for $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then

$$\phi_{\vec{k}}(0,x_1,...,x_n) = \cos 2\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger\cdot\vec{x}), \quad \psi_{\vec{k}}(0,x_1,...,x_n) = \sin 2\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger\cdot\vec{x}), \tag{3.37}$$

$$\partial_t(\phi_{\vec{k}})(0,x_1,...,x_n) = \partial_t(\psi_{\vec{k}})(0,x_1,...,x_n) = 0. \tag{3.38}$$

Again we define $\vec{0} \prec \vec{k}$ if its first nonzero coordinate is a positive integer. By superposition principle and Fourier expansions, we obtain:

Theorem 3.2. *The solution of the equation (3.21) subject to (3.22) is*

$$\begin{aligned}u &= \sum_{\vec{0}\preceq\vec{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^n} [b_{0,\vec{k}}\phi_{\vec{k}}(t,x_1,...,x_n) + c_{0,\vec{k}}\psi_{\vec{k}}(t,x_1,...,x_n) \\ &\quad + b_{1,\vec{k}}\int_{(t)}\phi_{\vec{k}}(t,x_1,...,x_n) + c_{1,\vec{k}}\int_{(t)}\psi_{\vec{k}}(t,x_1,...,x_n)]\end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

with

$$b_{\epsilon,\vec{k}} = \frac{1}{a_1a_2\cdots a_n}\int_{-a_1}^{a_1}\cdots\int_{-a_n}^{a_n} g_\epsilon(x_1,...,x_n)\cos 2\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger\cdot\vec{x})\,dx_n\cdots dx_1 \tag{3.40}$$

and

$$c_{\epsilon,\vec{k}} = \frac{1}{a_1a_2\cdots a_n}\int_{-a_1}^{a_1}\cdots\int_{-a_n}^{a_n} g_\epsilon(x_1,...,x_n)\sin 2\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger\cdot\vec{x})\,dx_n\cdots dx_1. \tag{3.41}$$

The convergence of the series (3.39) is guaranteed by the Kovalevskaya Theorem on the existence and uniqueness of the solution of linear partial differential equations when the functions in (3.22) are analytic.

Example 3.1. Consider the special case

$$u_{tt}-(\partial_{x_1}^2+x_1\partial_{x_2}^2+x_2\partial_{x_3}^2)(u)=0 \tag{3.42}$$

of (3.21). By (2.71) and (2.72), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\xi_1(t,2\pi k_1^\dagger\sqrt{-1},2\pi k_1^\dagger 2\sqrt{-1},2\pi k_3^\dagger\sqrt{-1})\\
= \;& -4\pi^2 t\left[(k_1^\dagger)^2-\frac{4\pi^2t^2}{3}((k_2^\dagger)^4+2k_1^\dagger k_2^\dagger(k_3^\dagger)^2)+\frac{16\pi^4t^4}{3}(k_2^\dagger)^2(k_3^\dagger)^4-\frac{64\pi^6t^6}{21}(k_3^\dagger)^8\right]\\
&-8\pi^3t^2\left[k_1^\dagger(k_2^\dagger)^2-\frac{2\pi^2t^2}{3}(3(k_2^\dagger)^3(k_3^\dagger)^2+k_1^\dagger(k_3^\dagger)^4)+\frac{16t^4}{9}k_2^\dagger(k_3^\dagger)^6\right]\sqrt{-1},
\end{aligned}\tag{3.43}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\xi_2(t,2\pi k_1^\dagger\sqrt{-1},2\pi k_1^\dagger 2\sqrt{-1},2\pi k_3^\dagger\sqrt{-1})\\
= \;& -4\pi^2 t x_1\left[(k_2^\dagger)^2-\frac{4\pi^2t^2}{3}(k_3^\dagger)^4\right]-8\pi^3k_2^\dagger(k_3^\dagger)^3t^2x_1\sqrt{-1}
\end{aligned}\tag{3.44}$$

and

$$\xi_3(t,2\pi k_1^\dagger\sqrt{-1},2\pi k_1^\dagger 2\sqrt{-1},2\pi k_3^\dagger\sqrt{-1})=-4\pi^2(k_3^\dagger)^2tx_2. \tag{3.45}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi_{\vec{k}}(t,x_1,x_2,x_3)=\\
&\frac{1}{2}\exp[4\pi^2t\left[(k_1^\dagger)^2-\frac{4\pi^2t^2}{3}((k_2^\dagger)^4+2k_1^\dagger k_2^\dagger(k_3^\dagger)^2)+\frac{16\pi^4t^4}{3}(k_2^\dagger)^2(k_3^\dagger)^4-\frac{64\pi^6t^6}{21}(k_3^\dagger)^8\right]\\
&+4\pi^2tx_1\left[(k_2^\dagger)^2-\frac{4\pi^2t^2}{3}(k_3^\dagger)^4\right]+4\pi^2(k_3^\dagger)^2tx_2]\cos[2\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger\cdot\vec{x})+8\pi^3t^2[k_1^\dagger(k_2^\dagger)^2\\
&-\frac{2\pi^2t^2}{3}(3(k_2^\dagger)^3(k_3^\dagger)^2+k_1^\dagger(k_3^\dagger)^4)+\frac{16t^4}{9}k_2^\dagger(k_3^\dagger)^6]+8\pi^3k_2^\dagger(k_3^\dagger)^3t^2x_1]\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\exp[-4\pi^2t\left[(k_1^\dagger)^2-\frac{4\pi^2t^2}{3}((k_2^\dagger)^4+2k_1^\dagger k_2^\dagger(k_3^\dagger)^2)+\frac{16\pi^4t^4}{3}(k_2^\dagger)^2(k_3^\dagger)^4-\frac{64\pi^6t^6}{21}(k_3^\dagger)^8\right]\\
&-4\pi^2tx_1\left[(k_2^\dagger)^2-\frac{4\pi^2t^2}{3}(k_3^\dagger)^4\right]-4\pi^2(k_3^\dagger)^2tx_2]\cos[2\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger\cdot\vec{x})-8\pi^3t^2[k_1^\dagger(k_2^\dagger)^2\\
&-\frac{2\pi^2t^2}{3}(3(k_2^\dagger)^3(k_3^\dagger)^2+k_1^\dagger(k_3^\dagger)^4)+\frac{16t^4}{9}k_2^\dagger(k_3^\dagger)^6]-8\pi^3k_2^\dagger(k_3^\dagger)^3t^2x_1]
\end{aligned}\tag{3.46}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi_{\vec{k}}(t,x_1,x_2,x_3)=\\
&\frac{1}{2}\exp[4\pi^2t\left[(k_1^\dagger)^2-\frac{4\pi^2t^2}{3}((k_2^\dagger)^4+2k_1^\dagger k_2^\dagger(k_3^\dagger)^2)+\frac{16\pi^4t^4}{3}(k_2^\dagger)^2(k_3^\dagger)^4-\frac{64\pi^6t^6}{21}(k_3^\dagger)^8\right]\\
&+4\pi^2tx_1\left[(k_2^\dagger)^2-\frac{4\pi^2t^2}{3}(k_3^\dagger)^4\right]+4\pi^2(k_3^\dagger)^2tx_2]\sin[2\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger\cdot\vec{x})+8\pi^3t^2[k_1^\dagger(k_2^\dagger)^2\\
&-\frac{2\pi^2t^2}{3}(3(k_2^\dagger)^3(k_3^\dagger)^2+k_1^\dagger(k_3^\dagger)^4)+\frac{16t^4}{9}k_2^\dagger(k_3^\dagger)^6]+8\pi^3k_2^\dagger(k_3^\dagger)^3t^2x_1]\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\exp[-4\pi^2t\left[(k_1^\dagger)^2-\frac{4\pi^2t^2}{3}((k_2^\dagger)^4+2k_1^\dagger k_2^\dagger(k_3^\dagger)^2)+\frac{16\pi^4t^4}{3}(k_2^\dagger)^2(k_3^\dagger)^4-\frac{64\pi^6t^6}{21}(k_3^\dagger)^8\right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$-4\pi^2 t x_1 \left[(k_2^\dagger)^2 - \frac{4\pi^2 t^2}{3}(k_3^\dagger)^4\right] - 4\pi^2 (k_3^\dagger)^2 t x_2] \sin[2\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) - 8\pi^3 t^2 [k_1^\dagger (k_2^\dagger)^2$$
$$-\frac{2\pi^2 t^2}{3}(3(k_2^\dagger)^3(k_3^\dagger)^2 + k_1^\dagger (k_3^\dagger)^4) + \frac{16t^4}{9} k_2^\dagger (k_3^\dagger)^6] - 8\pi^3 k_2^\dagger (k_3^\dagger)^3 t^2 x_1] \tag{3.47}$$

for $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$.

4 Polynomial Representations of Lie Algebras

In this section, we give three simple examples of applying Lemma 2.1 to polynomial representations of Lie algebras.

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be any field with characteristic 0 and let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. The special orthogonal Lie algebra

$$so(n, \mathbb{F}) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \mathbb{F}(E_{i,j} - E_{j,i}), \tag{4.1}$$

where $E_{r,s}$ is an $n \times n$ matrix with 1 as its (r,s)-entry and 0 as the others. There is a natural representation of $so(n, \mathbb{F})$ on the algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{F}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ of polynomials in n variables:

$$(E_{i,j} - E_{j,i})|_{\mathcal{A}} = x_i \partial_{x_j} - x_j \partial_{x_i} \qquad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n. \tag{4.2}$$

Denote

$$|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \qquad \text{for } \alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_k = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n,\ |\alpha| = k} \mathbb{F} x^\alpha \qquad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{4.4}$$

the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree k. Set

$$\mathcal{H}_k = \{f \in \mathcal{A}_k \mid (\partial_{x_1}^2 + \cdots + \partial_{x_n}^2)(f) = 0\}, \tag{4.5}$$

the space of homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree k. It is well known in harmonic analysis that $\mathcal{H}_k$ are irreducible $so(n, \mathbb{F})$-submodules and

$$\mathcal{A}_k = \mathcal{H}_k \oplus (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)\mathcal{A}_{k-2} \qquad \text{for } 2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.6}$$

By (2.35), we have:

Theorem 4.1. *The following set*

$$\{ \sum_{r_2, ..., r_k = 0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r_2 + \cdots + r_k} \binom{r_2 + \cdots + r_k}{r_2, ..., r_n} \prod_{i=2}^{k} \binom{\ell_i}{2r_i}}{(1 + 2\epsilon(r_2 + \cdots + r_k))\binom{2(r_2 + \cdots + r_k)}{2r_2, ..., 2r_n}} x_1^{\epsilon + 2(r_2 + \cdots + r_n)}$$
$$\times x_2^{\ell_2 - 2r_2} \cdots x_n^{\ell_n - 2r_n} \mid \epsilon \in \{0, 1\};\ \ell_2, ..., \ell_n \in \mathbb{N},\ \epsilon + \sum_{i=2} \ell_i = k\} \tag{4.5}$$

forms a basis of $\mathcal{H}_k$.

Recall the special linear Lie algebra

$$sl(n,\mathbb{F})=\sum_{i\neq j}\mathbb{F}E_{i,j}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathbb{F}(E_{i,i}-E_{i+1,i+1}). \tag{4.8}$$

Note

$$H=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathbb{F}(E_{i,i}-E_{i+1,i+1}) \tag{4.9}$$

is a Cartan subalgebra of $sl(n,\mathbb{F})$. Take $\{E_{i,j}\mid 1\leq i<j\leq n\}$ as positive root vectors. Let

$$\mathcal{Q}=\mathbb{F}(x_1,...,x_n,y_1,...,y_n), \tag{4.10}$$

the space of rational functions in $x_1,...,x_n,y_1,...,y_n$. Define a representation of $sl(n,\mathbb{F})$ on $\mathcal{Q}$ via

$$E_{i,j}|_{\mathcal{Q}}=x_i\partial_{x_j}-y_j\partial_{y_i}\qquad\text{for }\ i,j\in\overline{1,n}. \tag{4.11}$$

A nonzero function $f\in\mathcal{Q}$ is called *singular* if

$$E_{i,j}(f)=0\qquad\text{for }\ 1\leq i<j\leq n \tag{4.12}$$

and there exist a linear function λ on H such that

$$h(f)=\lambda(h)f\qquad\text{for }\ h\in H. \tag{4.13}$$

Set

$$\zeta=\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_iy_i. \tag{4.14}$$

Then

$$\xi(\zeta)=0\qquad\text{for }\ \xi\in sl(n,\mathbb{F}). \tag{4.15}$$

Lemma 4.2. *Any singular function in $\mathcal{Q}$ is a rational function in x_1,y_n,ζ.*

Proof. Let $f\in\mathcal{Q}$ be a singular function. We can write

$$f=g(x_1,...,x_{n-1},\zeta,y_1,...,y_n) \tag{4.16}$$

as a rational functions in $x_1,...,x_{n-1},\zeta,y_1,...,y_n$. By (4.11), (4.12) and (4.15), we have:

$$E_{i,n}(f)=-y_n\partial_{y_i}(g)=0\qquad\text{for }\ i\in\overline{1,n-1}, \tag{4.17}$$

equivalently,

$$\partial_{y_i}(g)=0\qquad\text{for }\ i\in\overline{1,n-1}. \tag{4.19}$$

Thus (4.11) and (4.19) imply

$$E_{1,i}(g)=x_1\partial_{x_i}(g)=0\qquad\text{for }\ i\in\overline{2,n-1}, \tag{4.20}$$

that is,

$$\partial_{x_i}(g) = 0 \qquad \text{for } \ i \in \overline{2, n-1}, \tag{4.21}$$

Therefore, g is independent of $x_2, ..., x_{n-1}$ and $y_1, ..., y_{n-1}$. $\qquad\Box$

Set

$$\mathcal{A}_{\ell_1,\ell_2} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n;\ |\alpha|=\ell_1,\ |\beta|=\ell_2} \mathbb{F}x^\alpha y^\beta \qquad \text{for } \ \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.22}$$

Then $\mathcal{A}_{\ell_1,\ell_2}$ is a finite-dimensional $sl(n, \mathbb{F})$-submodule by (4.11). Recall that the fundamental weights $\lambda_1, .., \lambda_{n-1}$ are linear functions on H such that

$$\lambda_i(E_{j,j} - E_{j+1,j+1}) = \delta_{i,j} \qquad \text{for } \ i, j \in \overline{1, n-1}. \tag{4.23}$$

The function $x_1^{\ell_1} y_n^{\ell_2}$ is a singular function of weight $\ell_1\lambda_1 + \ell_2\lambda_{n-1}$. According to the above lemma, any singular polynomial in $\mathcal{A}_{\ell_1,\ell_2}$ must be of the form $ax_1^{\ell_1-i}y_n^{\ell_2-i}\zeta^i$ for some $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{F}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Define

$$V_{\ell_1,\ell_2} = \text{the submodule generated by } x_1^{\ell_1} y_n^{\ell_2}. \tag{4.24}$$

According to Weyl's Theorem of completely reducibility, $\mathcal{A}_{\ell_1,\ell_2}$ is a direct sum of its irreducible submodules, which are generated by its singular polynomials. So V_{ℓ_1,ℓ_2} is an irreducible highest weight module with the highest weight $\ell_1\lambda_1 + \ell_2\lambda_{n-1}$ and

$$\mathcal{A}_{\ell_1,\ell_2} = V_{\ell_1,\ell_2} \oplus \zeta\mathcal{A}_{\ell_1-1,\ell_2-1} \tag{4.25}$$

as a direct sum of two $sl(n, \mathbb{F})$-submodules, where we treat $V_{i,j} = \{0\}$ if $\{i, j\} \not\subset \mathbb{N}$.

Denote

$$\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{x_i}\partial_{y_i}. \tag{4.26}$$

It can be verified that

$$\xi\Delta = \Delta\xi \qquad \text{for } \ \xi \in sl(n, \mathbb{F}), \tag{4.27}$$

as operators on $\mathcal{Q}$. Set

$$\mathcal{H}_{\ell_1,\ell_2} = \{f \in \mathcal{A}_{\ell_1,\ell_2} \mid \Delta(f) = 0\} \tag{4.28}$$

Since $\Delta(x_1^{\ell_1}y_n^{\ell_2}) = 0$, we have

$$V_{\ell_1,\ell_2} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\ell_1,\ell_2} \tag{4.29}$$

by (4.24) and (4.27). On the other hand,

$$\mathcal{A}_{\ell_1,\ell_2} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \zeta^i V_{\ell_1-i,\ell_2-i} \tag{4.30}$$

by (4.25) and induction. Note

$$\Delta\zeta = n + \zeta\Delta + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i\partial_{x_i} + y_i\partial_{y_i}) \tag{4.31}$$

as operators on $\mathcal{Q}$. Thus

$$\Delta(\zeta^i g)=\sum_{r=1}^{i}(n+\ell_1+\ell_2-2r)(\zeta^{i-1}g)=i(n+\ell_1+\ell_2-i-1)\zeta^{i-1}g \tag{4.32}$$

for $i\in\mathbb{N}+1,\ g\in V_{\ell_1-i,\ell_2-i}$. Hence

$$\mathcal{H}_{\ell_1,\ell_2}\bigcap\zeta\mathcal{A}_{\ell_1-1,\ell_2-1}=\{0\}. \tag{4.33}$$

Therefore,

$$V_{\ell_1,\ell_2}=\mathcal{H}_{\ell_1,\ell_2} \tag{4.34}$$

by (4.25) and (4.29). Now Lemma 2.1 gives:

Theorem 4.3. *The set*

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\sum_{i_2,\dots,i_n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{i_2+\cdots+i_n}\prod_{r=2}^n\binom{m_r}{i_r}\binom{l_r}{i_r}}{\binom{m+i_2+\cdots+i_n}{m}\binom{i_2+\cdots+i_n}{i_2,\dots,i_n}}x_1^{m+i_2+\cdots+i_n}y_1^{i_2+\cdots+i_n}\prod_{r=2}^n x_r^{m_r-i_r}y_r^{l_r-i_r},\\ &\sum_{i_2,\dots,i_n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{i_2+\cdots+i_n}\prod_{r=2}^n\binom{m'_r}{i_r}\binom{l_r}{i_r}}{\binom{m'+i_2+\cdots+i_n}{m'}\binom{i_2+\cdots+i_n}{i_2,\dots,i_n}}x_1^{i_2+\cdots+i_n}y_1^{m'+i_2+\cdots+i_n}\prod_{r=2}^n x_r^{m'_r-i_r}y_r^{l'_r-i_r}\\ &\mid m,m',m_r,n_r\in\mathbb{N};\ m+\sum_{r=2}^n m_r=\sum_{r=2}^n m'_r=\ell_1,\ \sum_{r=2}^n l_r=m'+\sum_{r=2}^n l'_r=\ell_2\}\end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

for a basis of V_{ℓ_1,ℓ_2}.

In the Lie algebra $sl(7,\mathbb{F})$, we set

$$h_1=-2E_{2,2}+E_{3,3}+E_{4,4}+2E_{5,5}-E_{6,6}-E_{7,7},\quad h_2=E_{2,2}-E_{3,3}-E_{5,5}+E_{6,6}, \tag{4.36}$$

$$E_1=\sqrt{2}(E_{1,2}-E_{5,1})-E_{3,7}+E_{4,6},\quad E_2=E_{2,3}-E_{6.5}, \tag{4.37}$$

$$E_3=[E_1,E_2]=\sqrt{2}(E_{1,3}-E_{6,1})+E_{2,7}-E_{4,5}, \tag{4.38}$$

$$E_4=[E_1,E_3]/2=\sqrt{2}(E_{1,7}-E_{4,1})+E_{6,2}-E_{5,3}, \tag{4.39}$$

$$E_5=[E_1,E_4]/3=E_{4,2}-E_{5,7},\quad E_6=[E_5,E_2]=E_{4,3}-E_{6,7}, \tag{4.40}$$

$$F_1=\sqrt{2}(E_{2,1}-E_{1,5})-E_{7,3}+E_{6,4},\qquad F_2=E_{3,2}-E_{5,6}, \tag{4.41}$$

$$F_3=\sqrt{2}(E_{3,1}-E_{1,6})+E_{7,2}-E_{5,4},\qquad F_5=E_{2,4}-E_{7,5}, \tag{4.42}$$

$$F_4=\sqrt{2}(E_{7,1}-E_{1,4})+E_{2,6}-E_{3,5},\qquad F_6=E_{3,4}-E_{7,6}. \tag{4.43}$$

Then the exceptional Lie algebra of type G_2 is the Lie subalgebra

$$\mathcal{G}_2=\mathbb{C}h_1+\mathbb{C}h_2+\sum_{i=1}^{6}(\mathbb{C}E_i+\mathbb{C}F_i) \tag{4.44}$$

of $sl(7,\mathbb{F})$ (cf. [H]). Its Cartan subalgebra

$$H=\mathbb{C}h_1+\mathbb{C}h_2. \tag{4.45}$$

We choose $\{E_1, E_2, ..., E_6\}$ as positive root vectors.

Let Q be the space of rational functions in $\{x_i \mid i \in \overline{1,7}\}$ and define a representation of $\mathcal{G}_2$ on Q via

$$E_{i,j}|_Q = x_i\partial_{x_j} \qquad \text{for } \ i,j \in \overline{1,7}. \tag{4.46}$$

A nonzero function $f \in Q$ is called *singular* with respect to $\mathcal{G}_2$ if

$$E_i(f) = 0 \qquad \text{for } \ i \in \overline{1,6} \tag{4.47}$$

and (4.13) holds. Define

$$\eta = x_1^2 + 2x_2x_5 + 2x_3x_6 + 2x_4x_7. \tag{4.48}$$

It can be verified that

$$\xi(\eta) = 0 \qquad \text{for } \ \xi \in \mathcal{G}_2. \tag{4.49}$$

Lemma 4.4. *Any singular function in Q with respect to $\mathcal{G}_2$ must be a rational function in x_4 and η.*

Proof. Let f a singular function in Q. We can write

$$f = \varphi(x_1, ..., x_6, \eta) \tag{4.50}$$

as a rational function in $x_1, ..., x_6, \eta$. Note

$$E_5(f) = (x_4\partial_{x_2} - x_5\partial_{x_7})(\varphi(x_1, ..., x_6, \eta)) = x_4\partial_{x_2}(\varphi) = 0 \tag{4.51}$$

and

$$E_6(f) = (x_4\partial_{x_3} - x_6\partial_{x_7})(\varphi(x_1, ..., x_6, \eta)) = x_4\partial_{x_3}(\varphi) = 0. \tag{4.52}$$

So

$$\partial_{x_2}(\varphi) = \partial_{x_3}(\varphi) = 0. \tag{4.53}$$

Next

$$E_2(f) = (x_2\partial_{x_3} - x_6\partial_{x_5})(\varphi(x_1, ..., x_6, \eta)) = -x_6\partial_{x_5}(\varphi) = 0, \tag{4.54}$$

that is,

$$\partial_{x_5}(\varphi) = 0. \tag{4.55}$$

Moreover,

$$E_3(f) = (\sqrt{2}(x_1\partial_{x_3} - x_6\partial_{x_1}) + x_2\partial_{x_7} - x_4\partial_{x_5})(\varphi(x_1, ..., x_6, \eta)) = -\sqrt{2}x_6\partial_{x_1}(\varphi) = 0, \tag{4.56}$$

which implies

$$\partial_{x_1}(\varphi) = 0. \tag{4.57}$$

Furthermore,

$$E_2(f) = (\sqrt{2}(x_1\partial_{x_2} - x_5\partial_{x_1}) - x_3\partial_{x_7} + x_4\partial_{x_6})(\varphi(x_1, ..., x_6, \eta)) = x_4\partial_{x_6}(\varphi) = 0 \tag{4.58}$$

yields

$$\partial_{x_6}(\varphi) = 0. \tag{4.59}$$

According to (4.53), (4.55), (4.57) and (4.59), φ is independent of x_1, x_2, x_3, x_5, x_6. $\square$

Set

$$\Delta' = \partial_{x_2}^2 + 2\partial_{x_2}\partial_{x_5} + 2\partial_{x_3}\partial_{x_6} + 2\partial_{x_4}\partial_{x_7}. \tag{4.60}$$

It can be verified that

$$\Delta'\xi = \xi\Delta' \qquad \text{for } \ \xi \in \mathcal{G}_2 \tag{4.60}$$

and

$$\Delta'\eta = \eta\Delta' + 14 + 4\sum_{i=1}^{7} x_i\partial_{x_i} \tag{4.61}$$

as operators on Q. The fundamental weight λ_1 is a linear function on H such that

$$\lambda(h_1) = 1, \qquad \lambda_1(h_2) = 0. \tag{4.62}$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, x_4^k is a singular function with weight $k\lambda_1$. Note that

$$\mathcal{B}_k = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{\,7};\ |\alpha|=k} \mathbb{F}x^\alpha \tag{4.63}$$

is a finite-dimensional $\mathcal{G}_2$-submodule. Let

$$V_k = \text{the submodule generated by } x_4^k. \tag{4.64}$$

Then V_k is an irreducible highest weight submodule of $\mathcal{B}_k$ with highest weight $k\lambda_1$. By similar arguments as those in the above of Theorem 4.3, we can prove

$$V_k = \{f \in \mathcal{B}_k \mid \Delta'(f) = 0\}. \tag{4.65}$$

Theorem 4.5. *The set*

$$\{\sum_{i_2,i_3,i_4=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{i_2+i_3+i_4}\prod_{r=2}^{3}\binom{m_r}{i_r}\binom{m_{4+r}}{i_r}}{(1+2\epsilon(i_2+i_3+i_4))\binom{2(i_2+i_3+i_4)}{i_2,i_3,i_4,i_2+i_3+i_4}} x_1^{\epsilon+2(i_2+i_3+i_4)} \prod_{s=2}^{4} x_s^{m_s-i_s} x_{4+s}^{m_{4+s}-i_s}$$
$$|\epsilon \in \{0,1\},\ m_2,...,m_7 \in \mathbb{N};\ \epsilon + \sum_{r=2}^{7} m_r = k\} \tag{4.66}$$

forms a basis of V_k.

References

[A] A. V. Aksenov, Symmetries and fundamental solutions of multidimensional generalized axi-symmetric equations Laplace Equation, *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* **29** (1993), 11-

[BG1] J. Barros-Neto and I. M. Gel'fand, Fundamental solutions for the Tricomi operator, *Duke Math. J.* **98** (1999), 465-483.

[BG2] J. Barros-Neto and I. M. Gel'fand, Fundamental solutions for the Tricomi operator II, *Duke Math. J.* **111** (2002), 561-584.

[B] Yu. Yu. Berest, Weak invariants of local transformation groups, *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* **29** (1993), 1796.

[I1] N. H. Ibragimov, *Transformation groups applied to mathematical physics*, Nauka, 1983.

[I2] N. H. Ibragimov, *Lie Group Analysis of Differential Equations*, Volume 2, CRC Handbook, CRC Press, 1995.

[H] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., 1972.

[X1] X. Xu, Differential invariants of classical groups, *Duke Math. J.* **94** (1998), 543-572.

[X2] X. Xu, Tree diagram Lie algebras of differential operators and evolution partial differential equations, *J. Lie Theory* **16** (2006), no. 4, 691-718.